8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28 laws of the state of Delaware, with a principal place of business at 15 East 40th Street in New York, NY.

- 5. Sinovision is the owner and operator of two 24-hour digital broadcast channels covering the greater New York Area, including a large swath of northern New Jersey.
- 6. Sinovision's broadcasts originate, at least in part, from a broadcast antenna located in Sussex County, New Jersey.
- 7. Sinovision is the owner and operator of the website available at http://sinovision.net (the "Sinovision Chinese Language Site," the "Sinovision Website," or the "Primary Website").

III. **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 8. This is an action arising under the copyright laws of the United States, 17 U.S.C. § 101 et. seq. and the Digital Millennium Copyright Act ("DMCA"), 17 U.S.C. § 1201 et. seq. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief, monetary damages, statutory damages, punitive damages, interest, costs and attorney's fees under the copyright laws of the United States, the DMCA.
- 9. This Court has original jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- 10. Venue is appropriate in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(a) and (b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(a).

IV. **FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS**

Sadowski's Copyright-Protected Photographs: A.

- Plaintiff Sadowski is an accomplished professional photographer residing in 11. New Jersey.
- 12. Mr. Sadowski has been a professional photographer for at least 12 years, and spends significant time and effort in the creation of his photographs. While some of his photographs deal with popular or common subject matter, the photographs taken by Mr.

Sadowski reflect his professional and creative choices and are uniquely his.

- 13. Mr. Sadowski's livelihood consists of the creation and licensing of his photographs.
- 14. Generally, Mr. Sadowski will respond to a news event or the appearance of a public personality, make the various necessary framing, lighting, exposure, and other relevant creative decisions, and create a photograph of the event or individual.
- 15. It is often (but not always) the case that Mr. Sadowski will then provide some or all of these photographs to the New York Post news organization, NYP Holdings, Inc., (the "NY Post") for their use.
- 16. The NY Post has used Mr. Sadowski's photographs on the NYPost.com website and on other affiliated internet properties, as well as in the print version of the New York Post newspaper.
- 17. Mr. Sadowski does not transfer or assign his copyright interest in any of these photographs to the NY Post, but instead grants the NY Post what amounts to a non-exclusive license.
- 18. With regard to any photographs provided by Mr. Sadowski to the NY Post and in particular, each and every of the photographs that are the subject matter of this Complaint copyright in those photographs vests and remains at all times with Mr. Sadowski. Mr. Sadowski retains all rights to any such photographs and does not grant permission or authority for its use by, or transfer to, any other person or entity.
- 19. Mr. Sadowski is under no obligation to offer his photographs exclusively to the NY Post; Mr. Sadowski is free to offer his photographs for license to any other entity at any time.
- 20. Mr. Sadowski has, in fact, licensed his various photographs to entities other than the NY Post at various times.
- 21. At all times relevant to this Complaint, whenever Mr. Sadowski has provided any photograph to the NY Post, Mr. Sadowski would convey in connection with each such photograph information including (a) his identity as the author of the photograph and (b)

11 12

14

13

16

15

17 18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

his identity as the copyright owner of the work.

- 22. Mr. Sadowski would convey such information by including it in the metadata of each photograph.
- 23. As published by the NY Post, conveyed in connection with each picture is information including (a) Mr. Sadowski's identity as the author of the photograph and (b) Mr. Sadowski's identity as the copyright owner of the work.
- 24. As to all of the photographs directly relevant to this dispute (the "Infringed Photographs," defined more fully below), when published online by the NY Post, such information is conveyed by an on-screen photo credit placed in close proximity to the photograph in question.
- 25. As to all but three of the Infringed Photographs, such information is also conveyed by its inclusion in the metadata of the photograph.
- 26. As to any photographs provided by Mr. Sadowski to the NY Post and then published in print by the NY Post, the information is conveyed by a photo credit placed in close proximity to the photograph in question.
- 27. The information identifying Mr. Sadowski as the author of each photograph constitutes Copyright Management Information ("CMI") under the relevant sections of the DMCA.
- 28. The information identifying Mr. Sadowski as the copyright owner of each photograph is CMI under the DMCA.
 - B. Sinovision's Business Model of Lifting Material from Other Websites:
- 29. Sinovision owns and maintains the Sinovision Website, which provides, inter alia, certain news items in Chinese, including photographs.
- 30. The Sinovision Website is one of the most popular Chinese language websites in the United States, with an audience at least in the tens of millions.
- 31. Sinovision operates broadcast television channels with direct coverage blanketing a population of more than 30 million individuals.

4

10

9

12

13

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

20

23

24 25

27

28

- At least some of the articles provided by Sinovision at the Sinovision Website 32. explicitly reference other news outlets, using language that is the functional equivalent of, "as reported in [some other news outlet.]"
- At least some of the articles Sinovision posts at the Sinovision Website 33. explicitly reference the NY Post, making reference to the NY Post as the information source for the article in question.
- 34. In fact, the majority of the news provided by the Sinovision Website is the result of the wholesale copying of news reports originally published at the NYPost.com website or other online news sources for local news.
- 35. When 'sourcing' news from another online source, Sinovision will also simply lift the photographs published at those online sources as well, with no license and no attribution.
- 36. The photos that Sinovision copies and posts to its website are not thumbnails. In fact, in some instances full-resolution/high-resolution versions of the photographs are available at a mere click.
- 37. In two instances, as of the date of this Complaint, the full-resolution images **REMAIN AVAILABLE** at the Sinovision Website.
- 38. The copied photographs at the Sinovision Website are not framed from the original site; the copied photographs at the Sinovision Website are not in-line links from the original site; the copied photographs at the Sinovision Website do not link back to the original source or site.
- 39. The copied photographs at the Sinovision Website are entirely untransformed or modified from the originals from which they are copied, except in some rare instances there are cropping differences.
- 40. In particular, as to those Sinovision articles that refer to the NY Post as the source of the information in question, the photographs accompanying those articles are simply copied from the NYPost.com website and used with the Sinovision article on the Sinovision Website.

8

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

- 41. On information and belief, in every instance where Sinovision has sourced a news item from another website, any photos accompanying that news item have been copied from that other website.
- 42. Plaintiff has given notice of the unauthorized copying and distribution to Sinovision on repeated occasions (as set forth more fully below), and while Sinovision has sometimes taken down the photographs, it wasn't long before Sinovision was infringing Mr. Sadowski's photographs again.
- 43. It was only the threat of suit that eventually convinced Sinovision (apparently) to stop stealing Mr. Sadowski's photographs, although Plaintiff believes that given enough time, Sinovision would forget its caution and return to form.
- 44. It is unknown to Plaintiff how many other photographers have been the victims of Sinovision's ongoing pattern of infringement. Plaintiff's belief, however, is that the number is not insignificant.
- 45. Sinovision is a sophisticated content provider across different media channels, including the Sinovision Website, broadcast television, and print media.
- 46. Even before Plaintiff's notice to Sinovision of the particular instances of infringement concerning the Infringed Photographs, Sinovision was very familiar with the United States copyright system, and at all times relevant to this Complaint Sinovision was well aware of the nature and consequences of copyright infringement.
 - C. Sinovision's Infringement of Sadowski's Photographs and Removal of Copyright Management Information - Generally.
- 47. At the center of this dispute are twenty-one photographs (collectively, the "Infringed Photographs"), enumerated more fully herein.
- 48. Sinovision has, over the course of time, copied at least these twenty-one Infringed Photographs, publishing them on the Sinovision Website without license, permission, or consent.
- 49. All of the Infringed Photographs were first published at the NYPost.com website, by the NY Post with the permission of Mr. Sadowski.

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

- 50. The NY Post paid Mr. Sadowski for the right to use the Infringed Photographs in that manner.
- 51. As they were originally published, Copyright Management Information was conveyed in connection with each and every of the Infringed Photographs.
- 52. As they were originally published, almost all of the Infringed Photographs contained metadata identifying Mr. Sadowski as the author and copyright holder of the photographs and all of the Infringed Photographs included a photo credit in close proximity identifying Mr. Sadowski as the author of the photographs.
- 53. Sinovision put up various web pages on the Sinovision Website with articles in Chinese discussing the same news items as certain pages at NYPost.com, and included on those pages unauthorized copies of the Infringed Photographs.
- In reproducing the Infringed Photographs on the Sinovision Website, 54. Sinovision removed from each photograph the photo credit identifying Mr. Sadowski as the author of the photograph.
- 55. In reproducing the Infringed Photographs on the Sinovision Website, Sinovision removed from each photograph the metadata identifying Mr. Sadowski as the author and copyright owner of the photograph.
- 56. The removal by Sinovision of the CMI from the Infringed Photographs was done with knowledge that such removal would enable, facilitate, and conceal Sinovision's own copyright infringement.
- 57. Through counsel, Mr. Sadowski has sent Sinovision multiple cease and desist letters, informing Sinovision of all but two instances of infringement.
- 58. Each such letter has been sent by certified United States post, and return receipts for each such letter have been received.
- 59. As to the final two instances of infringement, notice was given directly to Sinovision's counsel by phone and email.
- In some but not all instances of such notice, Sinovision did take down the 60. infringing photographs.

- 61. In some instances of such notice, however, Sinovision did NOT take down the infringing photographs.
 - D. Sinovision's Infringement of Mr. Sadowski's Photographs and Removal of Copyright Management Information Particulars
 - 62. Photo 1066, titled "010310delays17CS:"
 - a. On or about October 19, 2012, the NY Post published at the NYPost.com site Mr. Sadowski's photograph hereafter referred to as Photo 1066, titled "010310delays17CS," a reproduction of which is included herewith at Exhibit A, labeled 1066-Original.
 - b. The copyright in Photo 1066 has been duly registered with the United States Copyright Office, registration # VA1-900-624, included herewith at Exhibit B.
 - c. As published, Photo 1066 included CMI; in particular it included text identifying Mr. Sadowski as the photographer.
 - d. At some date unknown to Plaintiff, but before April 2014, Sinovision published and distributed a copy of Photo 1066 on the Sinovision Website. A screen capture of that posting is included herewith at Exhibit A, labeled 1066-Infringing.
 - e. Moreover, a high resolution version of Photo 1066 was available at the Sinovision Website, accessible to anyone who simply "clicked" on the infringing image as published.
 - f. As published on the Sinovision Website, the CMI relevant to Photo 1066 has been removed. Such removal was without the authority of the copyright owner or the law.
 - g. Sinovision was informed of the infringement by a cease-and-desist letter dated April 28, 2014.
 - h. Sinovision did not take down Photo $1066\ or\ respond$ to that letter.
 - i. Sinovision was again given notice of the infringement by mail in August 2014.
 - j. Sinovision still did not take down Photo1066 until approximately September

- g. As posted on the Sinovision Website, the CMI attached to Photo 1141 was
- h. As with Photo 1139, the main posting of Photo 1141 at the Sinovision Website had the CMI removed, but the full resolution version (still available as of the date of this Complaint) retains the metadata identifying Chris Sadowski as the "author" of the photograph.
- i. Sinovision was informed of the infringement by a cease-and-desist letter sent
- Sinovision removed Photo 1141, but did not remit the settlement amounts
- Photo 1157, titled "102612handcuffs12CS:"
 - a. On or about August 3, 2014, the NY Post published at the NYPost.com site Mr. Sadowski's photograph hereafter referred to as Photo 1157, titled "102612handcuffs12CS," a reproduction of which is included herewith at
 - b. The copyright in Photo 1157 has been duly registered with the United States Copyright Office, registration # VA1-925-762 (group), included herewith at

 - d. At some unknown date thereafter, but in or before August 2014, Sinovision published and distributed a copy of Photo 1157 on the Sinovision Website. A screen capture of that posting is included herewith at Exhibit A, labeled 1157-
 - e. Moreover, a high-resolution version of Photo 1157 was available at the Sinovision Website, accessible to anyone who simply "clicked" on the
 - f. As posted on the Sinovision Website, the CMI attached to Photo 1157 was

1	87.	Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.
2 3		SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AS TO PHOTO 1091
4	88.	Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as
5	if fully set for	rth herein.
	89.	Plaintiff is the owner of a valid and subsisting copyright in Photo 1091.
6 7	90.	By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did willfully and intentionally
8	reproduce and	d distribute Photo 1091, including the original constituents thereof.
	91.	Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.
9 10		THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AS TO PHOTO 1096
11	92.	Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as
12	if fully set forth herein.	
13	93.	Plaintiff is the owner of a valid and subsisting copyright in Photo 1096.
14	94.	By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did willfully and intentionally
15	reproduce and distribute Photo 1096, including the original constituents thereof.	
16	95.	Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.
17		FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AS TO PHOTO 1126
18	96.	Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as
19	if fully set forth herein.	
20	97.	Plaintiff is the owner of a valid and subsisting copyright in Photo 1126.
21	98.	By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did willfully and intentionally
22	reproduce and	d distribute Photo 1126, including the original constituents thereof.
23	99.	Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.
2425		FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AS TO PHOTO 1135 - ONLINE
26	100.	Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as
27	if fully set forth herein.	
28	101.	Plaintiff is the owner of a valid and subsisting copyright in Photo 1135.

28

and with knowledge or reasonable grounds for the knowledge that it would induce, enable, or

facilitate the further infringement of Photo 1066 by others.

173. Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.

TWENTY-THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION REMOVAL OF CMI AS TO PHOTO 1091

- 174. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 175. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did intentionally remove copyright management information from Photo 1091.
- 176. Said removal of CMI was without authority of law and without authority of the copyright holder.
- 177. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did distribute copies of Photo 1091, knowing that copyright management information had been removed or altered without authority of the copyright owner or the law.
- 178. Said removal, distribution, and publication were done with the knowledge that it would enable, facilitate, or conceal Sinovision's own copyright infringement as to Photo 1091, and with knowledge or reasonable grounds for the knowledge that it would induce, enable, or facilitate the further infringement of Photo 1091 by others.
 - 179. Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.

TWENTY-FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION REMOVAL OF CMI AS TO PHOTO 1096

- 180. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 181. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did intentionally remove copyright management information from Photo 1096.
- 182. Said removal of CMI was without authority of law and without authority of the copyright holder.
- 183. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did distribute copies of Photo 1096, knowing that copyright management information had been removed or altered without authority of the copyright owner or the law.

- 184. Said removal, distribution, and publication were done with the knowledge that it would enable, facilitate, or conceal Sinovision's own copyright infringement as to Photo 1096, and with knowledge or reasonable grounds for the knowledge that it would induce, enable, or facilitate the further infringement of Photo 1096 by others.
 - 185. Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.

TWENTY-FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION REMOVAL OF CMI AS TO PHOTO 1126

- 186. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 187. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did intentionally remove copyright management information from Photo 1126.
- 188. Said removal of CMI was without authority of law and without authority of the copyright holder.
- 189. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did distribute copies of Photo 1126, knowing that copyright management information had been removed or altered without authority of the copyright owner or the law.
- 190. Said removal, distribution, and publication were done with the knowledge that it would enable, facilitate, or conceal Sinovision's own copyright infringement as to Photo 1126, and with knowledge or reasonable grounds for the knowledge that it would induce, enable, or facilitate the further infringement of Photo 1126 by others.
 - 191. Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.

TWENTY-SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION REMOVAL OF CMI AS TO PHOTO 1135 - ONLINE

- 192. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 193. By the acts heretofore described, in particular those concerning the posting of an online video including Photo 1135, Sinovision did intentionally remove copyright management information from Photo 1135.
 - 194. Said removal of CMI was without authority of law and without authority of the

copyright holder.

- 195. By the acts heretofore described, in particular those concerning the posting of an online video including Photo 1135, Sinovision did distribute copies of Photo 1135, knowing that copyright management information had been removed or altered without authority of the copyright owner or the law.
- 196. Said removal, distribution, and publication were done with the knowledge that it would enable, facilitate, or conceal Sinovision's own copyright infringement as to Photo 1135, and with knowledge or reasonable grounds for the knowledge that it would induce, enable, or facilitate the further infringement of Photo 1135 by others.
 - 197. Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.

TWENTY-SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION REMOVAL OF CMI AS TO PHOTO 1136.01

- 198. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 199. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did intentionally remove copyright management information from Photo 1136.01.
- 200. Said removal of CMI was without authority of law and without authority of the copyright holder.
- 201. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did distribute copies of Photo 1136.01, knowing that copyright management information had been removed or altered without authority of the copyright owner or the law.
- 202. Said removal, distribution, and publication were done with the knowledge that it would enable, facilitate, or conceal Sinovision's own copyright infringement as to Photo 1136.01, and with knowledge or reasonable grounds for the knowledge that it would induce, enable, or facilitate the further infringement of Photo 1136.01 by others.
 - 203. Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.

TWENTY-EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION REMOVAL OF CMI AS TO PHOTO 1136.02

204. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as

if fully set forth herein.

- 205. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did intentionally remove copyright management information from Photo 1136.02.
- 206. Said removal of CMI was without authority of law and without authority of the copyright holder.
- 207. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did distribute copies of Photo 1136.02, knowing that copyright management information had been removed or altered without authority of the copyright owner or the law.
- 208. Said removal, distribution, and publication were done with the knowledge that it would enable, facilitate, or conceal Sinovision's own copyright infringement as to Photo 1136.02, and with knowledge or reasonable grounds for the knowledge that it would induce, enable, or facilitate the further infringement of Photo 1136.02 by others.
 - 209. Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.

TWENTY-NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION REMOVAL OF CMI AS TO PHOTO 1136.03

- 210. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 211. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did intentionally remove copyright management information from Photo 1136.03.
- 212. Said removal of CMI was without authority of law and without authority of the copyright holder.
- 213. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did distribute copies of Photo 1136.03, knowing that copyright management information had been removed or altered without authority of the copyright owner or the law.
- 214. Said removal, distribution, and publication were done with the knowledge that it would enable, facilitate, or conceal Sinovision's own copyright infringement as to Photo 1136.03, and with knowledge or reasonable grounds for the knowledge that it would induce, enable, or facilitate the further infringement of Photo 1136.03 by others.

215. Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.

THIRTIETH CAUSE OF ACTION REMOVAL OF CMI AS TO PHOTO 1136.04

- 216. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 217. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did intentionally remove copyright management information from Photo 1136.04.
- 218. Said removal of CMI was without authority of law and without authority of the copyright holder.
- 219. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did distribute copies of Photo 1136.04, knowing that copyright management information had been removed or altered without authority of the copyright owner or the law.
- 220. Said removal, distribution, and publication were done with the knowledge that it would enable, facilitate, or conceal Sinovision's own copyright infringement as to Photo 1136.04, and with knowledge or reasonable grounds for the knowledge that it would induce, enable, or facilitate the further infringement of Photo 1136.04 by others.
 - 221. Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.

THIRTY-FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION REMOVAL OF CMI AS TO PHOTO 1136.05

- 222. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 223. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did intentionally remove copyright management information from Photo 1136.05.
- 224. Said removal of CMI was without authority of law and without authority of the copyright holder.
- 225. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did distribute copies of Photo 1136.05, knowing that copyright management information had been removed or altered without authority of the copyright owner or the law.
 - 226. Said removal, distribution, and publication were done with the knowledge that it

would enable, facilitate, or conceal Sinovision's own copyright infringement as to Photo 1136.05, and with knowledge or reasonable grounds for the knowledge that it would induce, enable, or facilitate the further infringement of Photo 1136.05 by others.

227. Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.

THIRTY-SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION REMOVAL OF CMI AS TO PHOTO 1136.06

- 228. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 229. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did intentionally remove copyright management information from Photo 1136.06.
- 230. Said removal of CMI was without authority of law and without authority of the copyright holder.
- 231. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did distribute copies of Photo 1136.06, knowing that copyright management information had been removed or altered without authority of the copyright owner or the law.
- 232. Said removal, distribution, and publication were done with the knowledge that it would enable, facilitate, or conceal Sinovision's own copyright infringement as to Photo 1136.06, and with knowledge or reasonable grounds for the knowledge that it would induce, enable, or facilitate the further infringement of Photo 1136.06 by others.
 - 233. Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.

THIRTY-THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION REMOVAL OF CMI AS TO PHOTO 1136.07

- 234. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 235. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did intentionally remove copyright management information from Photo 1136.07.
- 236. Said removal of CMI was without authority of law and without authority of the copyright holder.
 - 237. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did distribute copies of

Photo 1136.07, knowing that copyright management information had been removed or altered without authority of the copyright owner or the law.

- 238. Said removal, distribution, and publication were done with the knowledge that it would enable, facilitate, or conceal Sinovision's own copyright infringement as to Photo 1136.07, and with knowledge or reasonable grounds for the knowledge that it would induce, enable, or facilitate the further infringement of Photo 1136.07 by others.
 - 239. Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.

THIRTY-FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION REMOVAL OF CMI AS TO PHOTO 1136.08

- 240. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 241. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did intentionally remove copyright management information from Photo 1136.08.
- 242. Said removal of CMI was without authority of law and without authority of the copyright holder.
- 243. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did distribute copies of Photo 1136.08, knowing that copyright management information had been removed or altered without authority of the copyright owner or the law.
- 244. Said removal, distribution, and publication were done with the knowledge that it would enable, facilitate, or conceal Sinovision's own copyright infringement as to Photo 1136.08, and with knowledge or reasonable grounds for the knowledge that it would induce, enable, or facilitate the further infringement of Photo 1136.08 by others.
 - 245. Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.

THIRTY-FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION REMOVAL OF CMI AS TO PHOTO 1136.09

- 246. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 247. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did intentionally remove copyright management information from Photo 1136.09.

- 248. Said removal of CMI was without authority of law and without authority of the copyright holder.
- 249. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did distribute copies of Photo 1136.09, knowing that copyright management information had been removed or altered without authority of the copyright owner or the law.
- 250. Said removal, distribution, and publication were done with the knowledge that it would enable, facilitate, or conceal Sinovision's own copyright infringement as to Photo 1136.09, and with knowledge or reasonable grounds for the knowledge that it would induce, enable, or facilitate the further infringement of Photo 1136.09 by others.
 - 251. Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.

THIRTY-SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION REMOVAL OF CMI AS TO PHOTO 1136.10

- 252. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 253. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did intentionally remove copyright management information from Photo 1136.10.
- 254. Said removal of CMI was without authority of law and without authority of the copyright holder.
- 255. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did distribute copies of Photo 1136.10, knowing that copyright management information had been removed or altered without authority of the copyright owner or the law.
- 256. Said removal, distribution, and publication were done with the knowledge that it would enable, facilitate, or conceal Sinovision's own copyright infringement as to Photo 1136.10, and with knowledge or reasonable grounds for the knowledge that it would induce, enable, or facilitate the further infringement of Photo 1136.10 by others.
 - 257. Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.

THIRTY-SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION REMOVAL OF CMI AS TO PHOTO 1136.11

258. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as

if fully set forth herein.

- 259. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did intentionally remove copyright management information from Photo 1136.11.
- 260. Said removal of CMI was without authority of law and without authority of the copyright holder.
- 261. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did distribute copies of Photo 1136.11, knowing that copyright management information had been removed or altered without authority of the copyright owner or the law.
- 262. Said removal, distribution, and publication were done with the knowledge that it would enable, facilitate, or conceal Sinovision's own copyright infringement as to Photo 1136.11, and with knowledge or reasonable grounds for the knowledge that it would induce, enable, or facilitate the further infringement of Photo 1136.11 by others.
 - 263. Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.

THIRTY-EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION REMOVAL OF CMI AS TO PHOTO 1139

- 264. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 265. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did intentionally remove copyright management information from Photo 1139.
- 266. Said removal of CMI was without authority of law and without authority of the copyright holder.
- 267. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did distribute copies of Photo 1139, knowing that copyright management information had been removed or altered without authority of the copyright owner or the law.
- 268. Said removal, distribution, and publication were done with the knowledge that it would enable, facilitate, or conceal Sinovision's own copyright infringement as to Photo 1139, and with knowledge or reasonable grounds for the knowledge that it would induce, enable, or facilitate the further infringement of Photo 1139 by others.

269. Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.

THIRTY-NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION REMOVAL OF CMI AS TO PHOTO 1141

- 270. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 271. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did intentionally remove copyright management information from Photo 1141.
- 272. Said removal of CMI was without authority of law and without authority of the copyright holder.
- 273. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did distribute copies of Photo 1141, knowing that copyright management information had been removed or altered without authority of the copyright owner or the law.
- 274. Said removal, distribution, and publication were done with the knowledge that it would enable, facilitate, or conceal Sinovision's own copyright infringement as to Photo 1141, and with knowledge or reasonable grounds for the knowledge that it would induce, enable, or facilitate the further infringement of Photo 1141 by others.
 - 275. Plaintiff has been harmed thereby.

FORTIETH CAUSE OF ACTION REMOVAL OF CMI AS TO PHOTO 1157

- 276. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 83 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 277. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did intentionally remove copyright management information from Photo 1157.
- 278. Said removal of CMI was without authority of law and without authority of the copyright holder.
- 279. By the acts heretofore described, Sinovision did distribute copies of Photo 1157, knowing that copyright management information had been removed or altered without authority of the copyright owner or the law.
 - 280. Said removal, distribution, and publication were done with the knowledge that it

1	DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY		
2	Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure}}, Plaintiffs demand a		
3	trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the Complaint.		
4			
5	Dated: March 23, 2015 By: /s/Ben D Manevitz/ Ben D. Manevitz (NJ BN 004192005) ben@manevitzlaw.com		
6	Manevitz Law Firm LLC		
7	805 Clifton Avenue Clifton, NJ 07013		
8	Telephone: (973) 594-6529 Facsimile: (973) 689-9529		
9	Attorneys for		
10	Plaintiff Christopher Sadowski		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
2425			
26			
27			
28			
_ 5			

Case No. _____ -- COMPLAINT (Jury Trial Demanded)